

JUDICIAL IMPACT FISCAL NOTE

Bill Number: 5009 SB	Title: Economic Disruption Crimes	Agency: 055 – Admin Office of the Courts (AOC)
--------------------------------	---	--

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
Total:					

Estimated Expenditures from:

STATE	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
FTE – Staff Years					
Account					
General Fund – State (001-1)					
State Subtotal					
COUNTY					
County FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local - Counties					
Counties Subtotal					
CITY					
City FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local – Cities					
Cities Subtotal					
Local Subtotal					
Total Estimated Expenditures:					

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form parts I-V
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date:
Agency Preparation: Sam Knutson	Phone: 360-704-5528	Date: 1/9/2017
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date:
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

Part II: Narrative Explanation

This bill would add a new section to RCW 9A.84, allowing a prosecutor to file a special allegation that the accused or an accomplice committed an offense to cause an economic disruption. The court must make a special finding regarding the allegation, and if found, the court shall impose a prescribed sentence enhancement. The bill would allow triple restitution if the special allegation is present.

Part II.A – Brief Description of what the Measure does that has fiscal impact on the Courts

Section 2(1) – Would allow a prosecuting attorney to file a special allegation when sufficient evidence exists to show that the accused or an accomplice committed the offense to cause an economic disruption.

Section 2(2) – Would establish that in a criminal case in which there has been a special allegation of economic disruption, the court shall make a finding of fact prior to sentencing whether the person committed the offense to cause an economic disruption. If found, the following additional times shall be added to the sentence:

- (a) for a misdemeanor offense, sixty days;
- (b) for a gross misdemeanor, six months;
- (c) for any felony offense, twelve months.

Section 2(3)(a)(b)(c) – Would define “economic disruption”.

Section 2(5) – Would define “accomplice” as it relates to a crime including economic disruption.

Section 3(11) – Would call for restitution to be ordered if a person is convicted of or pleads guilty to a criminal offense in which there has been a special allegation that the person committed the offense to cause an economic disruption. The amount of restitution ordered under this subsection may not exceed triple the amount of the offender’s gain or the victim’s loss from the commission of a crime.

II.B - Cash Receipt Impact

No revenue impact.

II.C – Expenditures

Adding the special allegation for economic disruption would not require additional hearings for the courts.

The law tables would need to be updated to reflect the new special allegation for economic disruption. Account coding, manuals and training materials would need to be updated. This would be managed within existing resources.